

Response to Comments – Negotiated Rulemaking docket no. 58-0108-2502

Commenter	Rule Section	Comment Summary	DEQ Response
Stuart Bryant, Public Works Supervisor, City of Kamiah	58.01.08.552.01.b.v	The City of Kamiah supports this return to 100 psi as the upper pressure limit in the distribution system.	Thank you for your comment. This has been addressed under the proposed rule.
John Spencer, Engineering Manager, City of Nampa	58.01.08.552.01.b.v	The City of Nampa is in support of rulemaking change to address the maximum pressures allow [sic] in a public water system.	Thank you for your comment. This has been addressed under the proposed rule.
Jordan Crane, PE, Project Engineer, Keller Associates	58.01.08.552.01.b.v	Keller Associates	Thank you for your comment. This has been addressed under the proposed rule.
Paul Tucker, District Manager, North Kootenai Water & Sewer District	58.01.08.552.01.b.v	North Kootenai Water & Sewer District	Thank you for your comment. The maximum pressure concerns have been addressed under the proposed rule, though the revised rule language differs from that proposed through your comment.

<p>Ron Wilson, District Manager, East Greenacres Irrigation District</p>	<p>58.01.08.552.01.b.v</p>	<p>East Greenacres Irrigation District</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The maximum pressure concerns have been addressed under the proposed rule, though the revised rule language differs from that proposed through your comment.</p> <p>The Department did not incorporate your recommended edits related to individual pressure reducing valve (PRV) placement or approval processes and conditions because the proposed rule allows for use of individual PRVs on a case-by-case basis, and the location or placement of the PRVs would be proposed by the public water system through their appropriate engineering submittals to the Department. Additionally, the privately owned side of a service line is under the jurisdiction of the Plumbing Bureau and they would need to approve placement and installation of individual PRVs on the private side of a service line.</p> <p>The Department did incorporate your recommendation to add clarification of unmitigated elevated pressure.</p>
<p>Bridger Morrison, PE, Public Works Director, City of Chubbuck</p>	<p>58.01.08.552.01.b.v</p>	<p>City of Chubbuck</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. This has been addressed under the proposed rule.</p>
<p>Adam Wenger, Public Works Director, City of Kuna</p>	<p>58.01.08.552.01.b.v</p>	<p>City of Kuna</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Your proposal has been incorporated into the proposed rule revisions.</p>

<p>Kyle Radek, PE, Assistant City Engineer, City of Meridian</p>	<p>58.01.08.552.01.b.v</p>	<p>City of Meridian</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Your request for additional language to clarify that the proposed maximum pressure of 100 psi only applies to areas with customer connections has been incorporated into the proposed rule revisions.</p>
<p>Cathy Cooper, PE, Director of Engineering, Veolia Water Idaho</p>	<p>58.01.08.552.01.b.v</p>	<p>Veolia Water Idaho</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Your request to clarify that the rule applies where customer connections would see pressures over 100 psi has been incorporated into the proposed rule revisions.</p>