# Idaho Department of Environmental Quality Wastewater Planning Grant

## Letter of Interest and Rating Form

Fiscal Year 2026

## Section I. Project Information

Provide complete and accurate answers to receive the highest possible rating for your project. When possible, DEQ recommends working closely with your engineering consultant to complete this form. If you would like help completing this form, please contact DEQ at <u>grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov</u> to discuss technical assistance opportunities.

#### A. System Identification

s? /:		Zip: Ye		
		•		
		Ye	~	
/:			5	No
n Email:				
's Name:				
Ownership:	For F	Profit	Not	-for-Profit
		Zip:		
t's Email:				
ponsible char	ge ope	rator fo	r you	ır system
<u>03.</u> )?		Ye	S	No
e Number:				
nination Syste	m (NPL	DES) pe	rmit,	Idaho
•	V) reus	e perm	it, or	а
•		<b>.</b> -		
nit	Subsu	rtace Pe	ermit	
	03.)? e Number: nination Syster astewater (WV be provided.	n Email: f's Name: n Ownership: For F ct's Email: ponsible charge ope 03.)? e Number: nination System (NPL astewater (WW) reus pe provided.	n Email: f's Name: n Ownership: For Profit Zip: ct's Email: ponsible charge operator fo 03.)? Yes e Number: nination System (NPDES) per astewater (WW) reuse permore provided.	The Email: The Source of

B. Proje	Project Readiness					
Determir	ne your community's readiness for Clean Wate	r Act funding assistance by an	swering the following			
question	s. At a minimum, the planning effort must ad	dress problems experienced l	oy your wastewater			
system. S	Select all that apply:					
1.	Your system is current with payment of the lo	daho Pollutant Discharge Elim	ination System (IPDES)			
	permit assessments and state revolving fund	(SRF) loan repayments.				
2.	If interested in receiving a planning grant, yo	u will have the 50% required r	natch funds available.			
If you did	I not select questions 1 or 2, you will not be p	laced on the new fiscal year's	priority list. DEQ will			
notify yo	u when the grants and loans process begins ag	gain next year. <u>Do not complet</u>	<u>e or submit this form to</u>			
<u>DEQ.</u>						
If you se	ected questions 1 or 2, complete all applicabl	e sections of this form.				
3.	Describe the proposed planning effort and any known problems that need to be addressed:					
4.	If you already contacted an engineer about y	our planning effort, indicate y	our status and provide			
	contact information for the engineer (or firm):					
	Discussed with engineer Obtained qu	uote from engineer Signed	d contract with engineer			
	Engineer:					
	Address:					
	City:	State:	Zip:			
	Phone:	Fax:				
	Email:					
C. Proje	ct Costs					
1.	What is the estimated cost to prepare your p	lanning document?				
	Total Planning Document Costs:		\$			
	DEQ Funding Request:		\$			

2.	If you plan to design or construct a project with SRF dollars, the State Environmental Review Process				
	(SERP) may be required under the DEQ planning grant process. You may choose to opt-out of the				
	SERP if your system plans to avoid using SRF design/construction funds. DEQ's Grants and Loans				
	Bureau for details. Completion of the SERP is considered grant eligible if you wish to prepare it as				
	part of this planning process. You may also choose to defer the SERP until the SRF funding is in				
	place.				
	If this planning document will include a SERP, how much of the total planning budget provided				
	above is the estimated cost (DEQ + your match) of preparing for the SERP? \$				
	Note: Initial SERP costs need not include agency consultations.				

Continue to Section II. Integrated Priority Rating System

## Section II. Integrated Priority Rating System

"Rules for Administration of Planning Grants for Drinking Water and Wastewater Facilities" (<u>IDAPA</u> <u>58.01.22.020.</u>). Projects are identified for placement on priority lists by surveying eligible entities directly on an annual basis. Grant funds are awarded to projects based on priority ratings. Projects are rated by the department on a standard priority rating form using public health, sustainability, water quality criteria, and condition of the existing system.

Answer the questions below and provide the requested documentation. Applicants or their consultants are encouraged to contact DEQ prior to the submittal deadline for assistance in answering the questions. **Rating will be determined by the answers and documentation provided.** 

#### A. Public Health Emergency or Hazard — All Projects

<u>IDAPA 58.01.22.020.03.a.</u> Public health emergency or hazard certified by the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality, the Department, a District Health Department, or by a District Board of Health. Up to 150 points.

**Note:** An emergency declaration must come from the DEQ Board or DEQ (i.e., director, water quality administrator, or regional administrators), or health department officials and must explicitly declare an emergency, not incidentally use the word. Emergency declarations dated more than 24 months prior to the LOI may be disqualified.

1. An officially declared (as listed above) or designated public health hazard or emergency exists. 150 points

If you selected question 1, attach a copy of board documentation or certification.

Label the attachment Section II.A.1.

B. Regulatory Compliance Issues – Point Source Projects/Conventional Wastewater Projects

<u>IDAPA 58.01.22.020.03.b.</u> Regulatory compliance issues (e.g., noncompliance and resulting legal actions relating to infrastructure deficiencies at a wastewater facility). Up to 100 points.

Describe what the level of non-compliance this project is addressing by selecting one of the following:

1.	a.	a. <b>Low-Level Noncompliance:</b> Includes minor or inconsequential permit violations that will be resolved by the proposed project. <i>10 points</i>		
	b.	<b>Moderate-Level Noncompliance:</b> Includes a first state or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) warning letter, notice of violation, or equivalent that will be resolved by the proposed project. <i>25 points</i>		
	C.	<b>High-Level Noncompliance:</b> Includes a second state or EPA warning letter, compliance agreement schedule, consent order, or equivalent that will be resolved by the proposed project. <i>50 points</i>		
		<ul> <li>High-Level Noncompliance: The system has avoided formal litigation actions, court filings, or civil or federal court orders by negotiating compliance actions described in B.1.c with DEQ and/or a federal agency to address violations that are directly related to the proposed project. 25 points</li> </ul>		

		<ul> <li>ii. High-Level Noncompliance: The system has satisfied all deadlines, milestones, and submittals to date associated with the compliance actions described in B.1.c.</li> <li>25 points</li> </ul>
2.		stem has received a draft permit with new or more stringent limits and compliance will be red by the proposed project. 75 <i>points</i>
C. Wate	rshed F	Restoration – All Projects
IDAPA 58	.01.22.	020.03.c. Watershed restoration. e.g., implementation of best management practices
or initiati	on of co	onstruction at wastewater collection and treatment facilities as part of an approved
total max	imum d	laily load plan, implementation of nonpoint source management actions in protection of
		er or is part of a special water quality effort. Up to 100 points.
Select all		
1.		e Water: Your planning study will reduce impacts to surface water. 10 points
	Descri	be current impacts and how the project will reduce impacts:
	a.	Existing facility discharges to or is believed to be impacting a §303(d) water body. 10 points
		Water Body Name:
		<b>Note:</b> 303(d) listed streams can be viewed at:
		https://mapcase.deg.idaho.gov/wg2020/default.html. Locate the discharging stream for
		your planning study and select status to view category. 303(d) listed streams are classified as
		category 4 or 5 on the map. Contact your regional DEQ office if you are within tribal
		boundaries to determine impaired status.
	b.	Planning study is for a point source and is expected to reduce a pollutant of concern in the
		§303(d)-listed water body. <i>10 points</i>
		List Pollutant:
	с.	Planning study is for a point source that is exceeding the waste load allocation (WLA) listed
		in the approved TMDL. 10 points
		List TMDL Name and WLA:
	d.	Planning study is for a non-point source (NPS) and is expected to reduce a pollutant of
	и.	concern in the §303(d)-listed water body. 10 points
		List Pollutant:
2.	Groun	dwater: Planning efforts will reduce impacts to groundwater by:
	a.	Reducing pollutant concentrations in a designated sole-source aquifer: the Eastern Snake
	ч.	River Plain, Spokane Valley Rathdrum Prairie, or Lewiston Basin. 10 points
		(https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/ground-water/aquifers)

	b. Reducing nitrate concentrations in a designated Nitrate Priority Area. 10 points						
	( <u>https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-guality/ground-water/ground-water-guality</u> )						
	c. Reducing nitrate concentrations in a critical groundwater area. 10 points						
	(https://www.idwr.idaho.gov/water-rights/critical-groundwater-areas/map/)						
3.	Point source applicants: Your system owners are be willing and able to sponsor an NPS project?						
	10 points						
	See https://www.deq.idaho.gov/water-quality/grants-and-loans/nonpoint-source-subgrants-in-						
	idaho or contact the Grants and Loans Bureau for details. Sponsorships are structured so that there						
	is no rate impact to system users.						
4.	Nonpoint Source Projects—Watershed Load Reduction: Points are awarded according to the						
	expected effectiveness of the project and transferability of the demonstrated technologies to other						
	parts of Idaho. The proposed project will either restore designated or existing beneficial uses,						
	reduce the severity of NPS impacts, or promote statewide nonpoint pollution reduction or						
	remediation. Examples might include streambank stabilization, surface water restoration, wetland						
	restoration, or agricultural best practices such as no-till.						
	The proposed planning effort will analyze the NPS impact overall pollutant loading to the						
	watershed. 25 points						
	List the 8-digit hydraulic unit code name and number:						
	Provide description:						
	ershed Protection From Impacts – All Projects						
DAPA 5	8.01.22.020.03.d/e. Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g.						
DAPA 5 mprove	<u>8.01.22.020.03.d/e.</u> Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the						
DAPA 5 improve special s	8.01.22.020.03.d/e. Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points.						
DAPA 5 improve special s Select a	8.01.22.020.03.d/e. Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points.						
I <u>DAPA 5</u> improve special s	8.01.22.020.03.d/e. Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points. Il that apply: Will your planning effort analyze:						
IDAPA 5 improve special s Select a	<ul> <li><u>8.01.22.020.03.d/e.</u> Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points.</li> <li><b>II that apply:</b></li> <li>Will your planning effort analyze:         <ul> <li>a. Implementing a new or refurbishing an existing reuse system? 50 points</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
IDAPA 5 improve special s Select a	<ul> <li><u>8.01.22.020.03.d/e.</u> Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points.</li> <li><b>II that apply:</b></li> <li>Will your planning effort analyze: <ul> <li>a. Implementing a new or refurbishing an existing reuse system? 50 points</li> <li>b. Converting individual septic systems to centralized treatment? 25 points</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
IDAPA 5 improve special s Select a	<ul> <li><u>8.01.22.020.03.d/e.</u> Preventing impacts to uses (all projects) Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., ment of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the tatus of the affected water body). Up to 100 points.</li> <li><b>II that apply:</b> <ul> <li>Will your planning effort analyze:                 <ul> <li>Implementing a new or refurbishing an existing reuse system? 50 points</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>						

2.	Beneficial uses are designated by the "Water Quality Standards" (IDAPA 58.01.02.100.). To which of					
	the fol	lowing beneficial uses listed will the planning effort analyze reducing current or prevent				
	future impacts? A sufficient description would describe each beneficial use designation as listed in					
	58.01.02, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, and 160 and explain how the planning effort will address impacts					
	or imp	rove beneficial uses designations. Failure to provide sufficient description(s) will result in no				
	points	awarded.				
	Select	all that apply:				
	a.	Aquatic life 25 points				
		Designation (COLD, SS, SC, WARM, or MOD):				
		Describe impacts prevented or improved:				
	b.	Recreation 15 points				
		Designation (PCR or SCR):				
		Describe impacts prevented or improved:				
	C.	Other 10 points				
		Designation (DWS):				
		Describe impacts prevented or improved:				
3.	State I	Priorities: Preference is given to projects based on recognition of the special status of waters				
		s of those waters. 10 points each				
	a.	Planning document will analyze impacts to a water body listed in Chapter 43. Title 67. Idaho				
		Code.				
	b					
	~.					
	or use a. b.	Planning document will analyze impacts to a water body listed in Chapter 43, Title 67, Idaho				

	с.	Other: Describe and attach documentation of special status or uses that deem the project a		
		state priority. Failure to provide sufficient documentation will result in no points being		
		awarded.		
		Description:		
4.	Planni	ng document will analyze one or more of the following:		
	a.	Removal of stormwater outlets. 5 points		
	b.	Conversion of combined sewer to separate stormwater system. 5 points		
	C.	Or similar projects (contact DEQ first). 5 points		
		Indicate type of project(s):		
E. Susta	inabilit	y (Green) Infrastructure Efforts		
IDAPA 58	.01.22.	020.03.f. Sustainability Efforts (e.g., prospective efforts at energy conservation, water		
conserva	tion, ex	tending the life of capital assets, green building practices, and other environmentally		
-		paches to infrastructure repair, replacement, and improvement). Up to 50 points.		
		ollowing questions can improve your overall rating. If you earn Priority List points for your		
-		s section, you or your project engineer will need to evaluate or complete each item under the nning grant agreement.		
	-	) points can be awarded under Section II.E, DEQ will incorporate all sustainability items		
-	-	nto the scope of the grant agreement for inclusion in the planning document. All the items		
		addressed in the planning document.		
Select all		oply – 5 points each (unless otherwise noted), up to a maximum of 50 points.		
1.	Mana	gement-based/innovative efforts: Management-based/innovative efforts could include the		
	following:			
	a.	As part of the planning effort, cyber informed engineering (CIE) principles will be		
		incorporated, critical systems will be identified and plans to integrate the CIE methodology		
		in future projects will be developed. CIE resources can be found at:		
		https://inl.gov/national-security/cie/ 20 points		
	b.	As part of the planning effort, an ongoing capital improvement plan will be developed or		
		updated and supported by a capital improvement plan budget.		
		OR		
		Request assistance to develop a capital improvement plan using a third party technical		
		assistance provider (DEQ will contact you regarding the opportunity).		

<ul> <li>(submit documentation). This means that: 1) Utility rates must be based on the flow and strength of the wastewater treated, and 2) Utility rates must generate revenue sufficient to cover both operating and capital costs. Identify the professional (or organization) preparing the rate study:</li></ul>		
routinely.       Provide a description:         e.       System is currently an EPA Green Power Partner (submit documentation).         OR       System will evaluate becoming an EPA Green Power Partner as part of the proposed project         f.       System will evaluate consolidation with another wastewater system. The system must be reasonably nearby (normally within five miles), and analysis should consider the flows of each system, the cost of merging, and any administrative or operational changes that would result. At least one inquiry must be made to the other system. Identify which system(s) will be evaluated:		based on consumption-based full-cost pricing for wastewater supported by a capital budget (submit documentation). This means that: 1) Utility rates must be based on the flow and strength of the wastewater treated, and 2) Utility rates must generate revenue sufficient to cover both operating and capital costs. Identify the professional (or organization) preparing the rate study: <b>OR</b> Request assistance to develop a rate study using a third party technical assistance provider (DEQ will contact you regarding the opportunity).
OR         System will evaluate becoming an EPA Green Power Partner as part of the proposed project         f.       System will evaluate consolidation with another wastewater system. The system must be reasonably nearby (normally within five miles), and analysis should consider the flows of each system, the cost of merging, and any administrative or operational changes that would result. At least one inquiry must be made to the other system. Identify which system(s) will be evaluated:         g.       System will conduct a professional energy audit and intends to implement its findings. Identify the auditor:         h.       Planning effort will aid in preparation for adaptation to the long-term effects of climate variation, extreme weather, and drought.         Provide a description:       i.         i.       Project will recover phosphorus for beneficial reuse.         j.       Project will significantly reduce or eliminate the use of chemicals in treatment.         k.       Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.	d	routinely.
i.       Project will recover phosphorus for beneficial reuse.         j.       Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.	e	
g.       System will conduct a professional energy audit and intends to implement its findings. Identify the auditor:	f.	reasonably nearby (normally within five miles), and analysis should consider the flows of each system, the cost of merging, and any administrative or operational changes that would result. At least one inquiry must be made to the other system.
variation, extreme weather, and drought.         Provide a description:         i.       Project will recover phosphorus for beneficial reuse.         j.       Project will significantly reduce or eliminate the use of chemicals in treatment.         k.       Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.	g	
<ul> <li>j. Project will significantly reduce or eliminate the use of chemicals in treatment.</li> <li>k. Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.</li> </ul>	h	variation, extreme weather, and drought.
k. Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.	i.	Project will recover phosphorus for beneficial reuse.
	j.	Project will significantly reduce or eliminate the use of chemicals in treatment.
I. Project will recharge groundwater by land application of effluent for where there are other	k	Project will significantly reduce or minimize the volume or toxicity of residuals.
cost-effective discharge alternatives.	l.	

2.		Efforts: Attach documentation supporting the green efforts. Green efforts could include, but				
	are no	t limited to, the following:				
	a.	Planning effort includes wetlands to improve water quality and/or support sustainable				
		infrastructure efforts. Includes constructed wetlands as integrated into a wastewater				
		treatment unit process.				
	b.					
		natural features, including vegetated buffers or soft bioengineered streambanks.				
	C.	Downspout disconnection to remove stormwater from sanitary sewers and separate storm sewers.				
	d.	Fee simple purchase of land or easements on land that has a direct benefit to water quality,				
		such as riparian and wetland protection or restoration.				
3.	Water	<b>Conservation Efforts:</b> Attach documentation supporting the water conservation efforts.				
	Water	conservation efforts could include, but are not limited to, the following:				
	a.	New wastewater reuse when other alternatives have been considered in the facility				
		planning process, including a reclaimed water distribution system (i.e., "purple pipe").				
	b.	Nonpoint source project will utilize the Natural Resources Conservation Service's best				
		management practices.				
	с.	Retrofit or replacement of existing irrigation systems with more efficient irrigation systems.				
4.	<b>Energy Conservation Efforts:</b> Attach documentation supporting the energy conservation efforts.					
	Energy	conservation efforts could include, but are not limited to, the following:				
	a.	Variable frequency drive (VFD) pumps.				
	b.	Energy-efficient motors that meet National Electrical Manufacturers Association Premium				
		specifications.				
	с.	Heat pumps that reclaim heat from treated effluent.				
	d.	High-efficiency lighting/lighting controls.				
	e.	On-site energy generation such as methane clean combustion, fuel cells, solar, or wind.				
	f.	Efficient replacements for vacuum dewatering systems.				
	g.	Aeration improvements such as fine bubble aeration, VFD blowers, or automated dissolved				
		oxygen control.				
	h.	Tertiary filtration that reduces ultraviolet disinfection power requirements.				
	i.	Pressure transmission line replacement resulting in reduced pumping costs.				
	j.	Supervisory control and data acquisition system installation.				
	k.	Infrastructure improvements that significantly reduce infiltration/inflow or eliminate lift				
		station(s).				
	١.	Collection system infiltration/inflow detection equipment.				
amnla	s that h	ave been accepted for Idaho projects are found in the Grants and Loans Program Customer				
ample						

F. Affo	ordability	/ Disadvantaged Status					
IDAPA 5	8.01.22.	<u>020.03.q.</u> Affordability Points shall be given v	when current system user charges exceed state				
affordal	bility gui	delines. Up to 10 points					
Provide	informa	tion for Section II.F. If you qualify as a disadv	antaged community, you will receive 10 points.				
1.	How many equivalent dwelling unit (EDU) connections does your system serve?						
2.	What	is the current average user rate?	\$/month/EDU				
	Type of rate structure:						
	Flat fee - Fee amount:						
		Consumptive Use - Description:					
	(	Other:					
3.	What	is the most recent date that user rates have	been increased:				
4.	Media	n household income calculation instructions					
	a.	Go to <u>https://censusreporter.org/locate/</u> .					
	b.	Either select "Use your current location" or	enter an address for any residence or location ir				
		your community and select the choice prov	vided by the application.				
	с.	Hover your cursor over each item in the list	on the left of the screen and view the				
	corresponding green area represented on the map.						
	d.	Click on the smallest and most representat	ive area for your community either on the map o				
		in the list for the data.					
	e.	Scroll down to economics and enter the me	edian household income (MHI): \$				
	If there was N/A for median income, choose the next largest area from the list.						
	f.	Provide the data to the DEQ. Either take a s	screenshot of the data (Label attached document				
		as "Section II.F, part number(s)") and includ	de it with your application or provide the citation				
		(scroll to the bottom of the page and copy/	paste the information after "Citation") here:				
	g.	Does the income level generally reflect you	r community's average MHI?				
		Yes, it is generally representative of the cor	nmunity.				
			eau ( <u>grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov</u> ) for other				
		means to evaluate your community's MHI.					
	h.	If the annual residence user does not excee	ed 2% of the community's MHI, but exceeds 1.5%				
		of the community's MHI, you can still quali	fy if the community's unemployment rate				
	exceeds the statewide average and the community's population is decreasing.						

	i.	Provide evidence from the U.S. Census, Bureau of Labor Statistics or Idaho
		Department of Labor showing the area's unemployment rate and
	ii.	Provide evidence of a declining population such as comparing current census data to
		previous census data or using the population percent change from the U.S. Census
		QuickFacts.
Label	attache	d documents as "Section II.F, part number(s)".
Note:	If the a	nnual residential user rates do not exceed 2% of the community's MHI, but exceed
	-	ommunity's MHI, you can still qualify if the community's unemployment rate exceeds
the sta	atewide	average and the community's population is decreasing.

## Continue to Section III. Authorized Submitter

Section III. Authorized Submitter	
Are you willing to allow us to share your LOI with other federal funding agencies? Yes No	
I understand that if awarded funding, costs incurred prior to the award are not eligible for	
reimbursement unless a written request and justification are submitted to and approved by DEQ.	
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all information provided here is valid and correct:	
Submitter Name:	Title:
Company:	Date:
If the submitter is different from the system contact, does the submitter have the authority to submit this LOI on behalf of the system contact? N/A Yes No	

Return completed form by January 10, 2025, to: Idaho Department of Environmental Quality Attn: Garrett Deegear 1410 North Hilton St. Boise, ID 83706 grants.loans@deq.idaho.gov Phone: (208) 373-0134 Fax: (208) 373-0576