

**DEQ's Response to Comments  
Proposed Rule Docket No. 58-0102-2001**

1. U.S. EPA Region 10
2. Association of Idaho Cities (AIC)

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
1.	Bacteria Criteria – IDAPA 58.01.02.251 Toxics Criteria Addition	1.	IDAPA 58.01.08.251.01 [sic] states that “Waters designated for recreation must meet the Fish Only water quality criteria set forth in subsection 210.01.b.” This provision adds clarification by cross-referencing subsection 210.01.b, which states that the criteria protect human health and the Fish Only criteria apply to waters designated for primary or secondary contact recreation use. EPA supports this cross-reference to clarify the appropriate application of toxics criteria to protect human health in waters designated for recreation.	Thank you for your comment.

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
2.	Fecal Indicators - Enterococci Criteria Addition	1.	<p>The 2019 rule and 2020 proposed rule added enterococci criteria at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.b [sic] consistent with EPA's 2012 national recommended CWA section 304(a) criteria. During the May 31, 2018 negotiated rulemaking meeting, the DEQ verbally noted the department's intent to implement the <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci criteria as independently applicable. Further, the DEQ clarified its intent at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02 [sic] by stating that data for either of the indicator criteria would be considered sufficient for determining compliance with the bacteria criteria. The DEQ stated in the 2019 proposed rule notice that allowing use of either indicator would provide dischargers with the option to request an alternative fecal bacteria indicator for monitoring compliance with water quality standards and support a transition period from <i>E. coli</i> criteria to enterococci criteria. Based on this reasoning, EPA supports such a transition approach with two fecal indicators and the rule revision.</p>	Thank you for your comment.

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
3.	Geometric Mean and Statistical Threshold Value Criteria and Implementation	1.	<p><b>A. Independently Applicable</b>  In the 2019 rule, DEQ added “or” to the proposed rule language at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a.i [sic] and b.i. In the letters dated October 4, 2018 and May 15, 2020, EPA indicated our interpretation of the proposed rule language to mean that for each fecal indicator there are two components, geometric mean and statistical threshold value (STV), and that each are independently applicable. This interpretation of the rule language is consistent with EPA’s criteria recommendations and EPA requests confirmation of this interpretation in DEQ’s public comment summary.</p> <p><b>B. Duration and Same Data Distribution</b>  In its proposed rule, DEQ established a criteria duration of 45 days at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a [sic] and b. Although EPA’s criteria recommendations state that 30 days is considered to be an optimal duration period to capture both short-term and long-term variability of exposure conditions to protect recreational uses, EPA has since concluded that a geometric mean not to exceed 90 days, in combination with the protective criteria magnitudes, is protective of a primary contact recreation use and consistent with EPA’s criteria recommendations and data analysis.</p> <p>EPA’s criteria recommendations state that the criteria magnitude should be expressed as a geometric mean and a STV that are both part of the same distribution of water quality data. EPA interprets the 2019 rule and the proposed rule to mean that the STV and geometric mean for each 45-day period are calculated from the same data distribution. EPA requests confirmation of this interpretation in DEQ’s public comment summary.</p>	<p>With respect to the proposed rule language in IDAPA 58.01.02.251.02.a.i and b:</p> <p>A. DEQ confirms that for each fecal indicator there are two components, geometric mean and statistical threshold value (STV), and that each are independently applicable.</p> <p>B. DEQ confirms that the STV and geometric mean for each 45-day period are calculated from the same data distribution.</p>

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
4.	Sample Size and Geometric Mean	1.	<p>The language regarding the Geometric Mean Criterion for <i>E. coli</i> and enterococci (IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a.i [sic] and b.i) states, “based on a minimum of five (5) samples taken every three (3) to eleven (11) days over a forty-five (45) day period.” As discussed in EPA’s previous comments, the agency does not plan to take action on the language under section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act as data sufficiency clauses/statements addressing the sample number do not meet EPA’s test for what constitutes a new or revised water quality standard.</p>	Thank you for your comment.
5.	Representative Samples	1.	<p>The language at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a.i.(2) [sic] and b.ii requires that samples collected are representative of the 45-day duration. EPA plans not to take action on the language under section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act as sample representativeness does not meet EPA’s test for what constitutes a new or revised water quality standard. However to clarify implementation, EPA suggests revising the language in IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a.i.(2) [sic] and b.ii to ensure understanding that collected samples should be representative of the waterbody and that DEQ includes all representative samples, including spikes, in STV calculations (the red text and strikeout reflects EPA’s recommendations).</p> <p>The Department will ensure <b>representative</b> samples <b>have been</b> collected <del>represent</del> <b>during</b> the forty-five (45) day duration.</p>	DEQ believes the proposed rule language is clear and that the recommended revision does not reduce ambiguity or provide additional clarity; therefore, DEQ has declined to make this change.

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
6.	Swimming Beaches	1.	IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.a.ii [sic] states that “For public swimming beaches, a single sample value of two hundred thirty-five (235) <i>E. coli</i> counts per one hundred (100) mL should be used in considering beach closures.” EPA plans not to take action on the language under section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act because advisory values or beach action values do not meet EPA’s four-part test for determining what constitutes a new or revised water quality standard.	Thank you for your comment.
7.	Effluent Bacteria Samples	1.	<p>The language at IDAPA 58.01.08.251.02.c [sic] states, “When comparing effluent bacteria samples to the criteria, the averaging period shall be thirty (30) days or less based on a minimum of five (5) samples.” EPA plans not to take action on the language under section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act because permitting implementation procedures do not meet EPA’s four-part test for determining what constitutes a new or revised water quality standard. However for implementation purposes, EPA recommends the following language revisions to clarify Idaho’s intent (the red text reflects EPA’s recommendations).</p> <p>When comparing <b>permit</b> effluent bacteria samples to the criteria, the <b>geometric mean</b> averaging period shall be thirty (30) days or less based on a minimum of five (5) samples.</p>	<p>DEQ agrees to some of EPA’s suggested revisions to IDAPA 58.01.02.251.02.c; however, the duration of 30-days should apply to both STV and the geometric mean. The proposed rule has been updated to read as follows:</p> <p><del>When</del> <b>For</b> comparing <b>permit</b> effluent bacteria samples to the criteria, the averaging period shall be thirty (30) days or less based on a minimum of five (5) samples.</p>

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
8.	Specific Variances – IDAPA 58.01.02.260	1.	<p>As stated in our previous comment letter of May 15, 2020, EPA supports DEQ’s proposal to delete the rule language at IDAPA 58.01.08.260.02 [sic] related to specific variances that EPA had disapproved on May 7, 2010. The preliminary draft rule now includes new revisions to subsection 260, including renumbering since the current subsection 260.02 is proposed for deletion. EPA does not have specific concerns with the proposed minor wording changes and renumbering structure.</p> <p>However, there are parts of DEQ’s variance regulations that are not consistent with the current water quality standards variance regulations at 40 CFR 131.14. In August 2015, EPA published a final rule updating the federal water quality standards regulation which included establishing the variance requirements at 40 CFR 131.14.10. Since the most recent revisions to Idaho’s variance policy occurred in 2002 and were approved by EPA in 2006, EPA recommends DEQ consider updating the state’s variance regulations to incorporate the elements of the federal variance regulations as appropriate. For example, DEQ’s regulations do not include: 1) the additional justification factor related to restoration, found at 40 CFR 131.14(b)(2)(i)(A)(2); 2) the requirements related to the highest attainable condition of the water body or waterbody segment applicable throughout the term of the variance; and 3) a requirement that the variance term only be as long as necessary to achieve the highest attainable condition per 40 CFR 131.14(b)(1)(iv). Regardless of whether Idaho adopts these changes to the state’s current variance policy, any water quality standards variances issued by DEQ must comply with the federal variance requirements at 40 CFR 131.14.</p>	DEQ will not be considering updates to the current variance regulations at this time as they are outside of the scope of this rulemaking.

Cmt #	Rule Section/ Subject Matter	Commenter	Comment Summary	Response
9.	Bacteria criteria	2.	AIC supports the criteria language that addresses the implementation of the statistical threshold value, the extended averaging period used in calculating geometric mean values, and the recommendation values for public swimming beaches.	Thank you for your comment.